

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/847,935	05/03/2001	David F. Woodward	D2914	6555	
759	90 09/26/2002				
Frank J. Uxa			EXAMINER		
Stout, Uxa, Buyan & Mullins, LLP Suite 300			FUBARA, BI	FUBARA, BLESSING M	
4 Venture Irvine, CA 92618			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1615		
			DATE MAILED: 09/26/2002	DATE MAILED: 09/26/2002 / D	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

BEST AVAILABLE

.		
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/847,935	WOODWARD ET AL.
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit
	Blessing M. Fubara	1615
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 16 September 2002 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (foondition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application in the same appli	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the maili		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TI	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ice later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 		
2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);	<i>,</i>
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.
Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s)·	
	• • •	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	r reconsideration has been consi 	dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	☐ will be entered and an wor appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected:		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme		
0.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	()() () () () () () () () ()	 :
	CEST AVAILAD	-

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 10. Other: The prior art teaches the composition of the claimed invention and it is inherent that a complex forms in composition comprising a mixture of therapeutic component and an efficacy enhancing component. There is nothing in the application that defines what that complex is, a covalent bond or ionic bond or association of drug/therapeutic agent and the fatty acid or anionic polymer. Applicants' argument is not persuasive. Is there a reason why a therapeutic agent would not form a complex with the efficacy enhancing component in the prior art and a complex forms between a therapeutic agent and an efficacy enhancing component in the application.

THURMAN PAGE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

BEST AVAILABLE CO